

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 11 2014

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CONSUMER FINANCIAL
PROTECTION BUREAU,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MORGAN DREXEN, INC. and WALTER
LEDDA, individually and as owner,
officer or manager of Morgan Drexen,
Inc.,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 14-55333

D.C. No. 8:13-cv-01267-JLS-JEM
Central District of California,
Santa Ana

ORDER

Before: GOODWIN, CANBY, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and the parties' responses to this court's March 3, 2014 order to show cause demonstrates that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) (jurisdiction over interlocutory orders granting, continuing, modifying, refusing or dissolving injunctions, or refusing to dissolve or modify injunctions); *Gulfstream Aerospace Corp. v. Mayacamas Corp.*, 485 U.S. 271, 279 (1988) ("order by a federal court that relates only to the conduct or progress of litigation before that court ordinarily is not considered an injunction and therefore is not appealable under § 1292(a)(1)"); *and see Silberkleit v.*

SM/MOATT

Kantrowitz, 713 F.2d 433, 434 (9th Cir. 1983) (order granting or denying a motion to stay proceedings is not generally a final decision appealable under § 1291); *see also Turtle Island Restoration Network v. U.S. Dep't of Commerce*, 672 F.3d 1160, 1165 (9th Cir. 2012) (“In determining the appealability of an interlocutory order under 28 § 1292(a)(1), we look to its substantial effect rather than its terminology”), quoting *Armstrong v. Wilson*, 124 F.3d 1019, 1021 (9th Cir. 1997)). Consequently, this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

DISMISSED.