Filed: 12/28/2016

ORAL ARGUMENT HELD APRIL 12, 2016

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

PHH CORPORATION, et al.	
Petitioners,	
v.)	No. 15-1177
CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION) BUREAU,)	
Respondent.)	

OPPOSITION OF RESPONDENT CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

On December 22, 2016, and at the invitation of this Court, both Petitioners and the United States filed responses to the Bureau's Petition for Rehearing En Banc in the above-captioned matter. On the same day, Petitioners also moved for leave to file an additional 15-page response. The Bureau opposes Petitioners' Motion. If Petitioners want an opportunity to present additional arguments to this Court, they may do so if this Court grants rehearing en banc and seeks additional briefing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Lawrence DeMille-Wagman
Lawrence DeMille-Wagman
Senior Litigation Counsel
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
1700 G Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20552
(202) 435-7957 (telephone)
(202) 435-7024 (facsimile)
lawrence.wagman@cfpb.gov

Counsel for Respondent Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATION, TYPEFACE REQUIREMENTS, AND TYPE STYLE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. This Opposition complies with the type-volume limitation of Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(2) because it contains 78 words, as determined by the word count function of the Microsoft Word 2010 word processing program, excluding the parts of the opposition exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(f).
- 2. This Opposition complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using the Microsoft Word 2010 word processing program in 14-point Times New Roman font.

/s/Lawrence DeMille-Wagman Lawrence DeMille-Wagman

Filed: 12/28/2016

Filed: 12/28/2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 28, 2016, I electronically filed the Opposition of Respondent Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to Petitioners' Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Response with the Clerk of the Court of the United States Court of Appeals for the District Columbia Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. I certify that all participants in the case (including all amici) are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/s/ Lawrence DeMille-Wagman

Theodore B. Olson Helgi C. Walker Scott P. Martin Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mitchel Kider
David M. Souders
Sandra B. Vipond
Michael S. Trabon
Weiner Brodsky Kider PC
1300 19th Street, N.W., Fifth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Thomas M. Hefferon William M. Jay Goodwin Procter LLP 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Kathryn L. Comerford Todd Steven Paul Lehotsky U.S. Chamber Litigation Center 1615 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20062

Jay N. Varon Jennifer Matilda Keas, Foley & Lardner LLP 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007-5143

Gregory F. Jacob, Esquire O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-4001

Joseph R. Palmore Morrison & Foerster LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 6000 Washington, DC 20006-1888

David T. Case K&L Gates, LLP 1601 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1600 Andrew John Pincus, Mayer Brown, LLP 1999 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1101

Filed: 12/28/2016

C. Boyden Gray Adam Jeffrey White Boyden Gray & Associates 1627 I Street NW, Suite 950 Washington, DC 20006

Sam Kazman Hans Frank Bader Competitive Enterprise Institute 1899 L Street, NW, 12th Floor Washington, DC 20036

Kirk Jensen Alexander S. Leonhardt BuckleySandler LLP 1250 24th Street, NW, Ste. 700 Washington, DC 20037