

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-1177**September Term, 2016****CFPB-2014-CFPB-0002****Filed On: March 7, 2017**

PHH Corporation, et al.,

Petitioners

v.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,

Respondent

BEFORE: Garland*, Chief Judge; Henderson, Rogers, Tatel, Brown, Griffith, Kavanaugh, Srinivasan, Millett, Pillard, and Wilkins, Circuit Judges; and Randolph, Senior Circuit Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion of the Attorneys General of the States of Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, and the District of Columbia for reconsideration of denial of motion for leave to intervene styled as a “petition for en banc rehearing,” the motion of Senator Sherrod Brown and Representative Maxine Waters for en banc reconsideration of denial of motion for leave to intervene and alternative request to hold the motion in abeyance, and the motion of Americans for Financial Reform, Maeve Brown, Center for Responsible Lending, Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, Self-Help Credit Union, and United States Public Interest Research Group, Inc. for reconsideration by the full court of denial of motion for leave to intervene and the alternative request to hold the motion in abeyance, and the consolidated opposition to the motions; and the motion to intervene in any en banc proceeding that may be granted, by State National Bank of Big Spring, the 60 Plus Association, Inc., and Competitive Enterprise Institute, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

ORDERED that the motions and alternative requests be denied.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Scott H. Atchue
Deputy Clerk

* Chief Judge Garland did not participate in this matter.